

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 1, 8 and 10 are allowed and that claims 2-7, 9 and 11-12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections set forth in the office action. Claims 2-7, 9 and 11-12 have been amended.

Claims 13-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. These claims would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection.

As to claim 13, it is alleged that this claim is vague and indefinite because it is unclear as to which circuit or device performs the receiving and synchronizing steps. As clearly set forth in the claim, it does not include the mobile terminal but may be another device, apparatus or combination of devices or apparatus that perform these steps. Applicants respectfully submit that the method claim need not include apparatus structure to be patentable and that the claim as written is clear to one of ordinary skill in the art that another unit or units other than the mobile terminal perform the steps.

As to claims 15 and 17, Applicants have amended the claims to clarify what is believed to be inherent in the claims as originally filed.

As to claims 19 and 20, Applicants respectfully reassert the relevant remarks made above with respect to claim 13.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.
The Examiner is invited to contact the below-listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Dated: 2-14-07

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Christopher J. Reckamp
Reg. No. 34,414

Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C.
222 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
PHONE: (312) 609-7599
FAX: (312) 609-5005